

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,582 02/05/2001		02/05/2001	Klaus-Helmut Muller	MO-5983/LEA	5997
157	7590	10/07/2002			
BAYER CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 BAYER ROAD				EXAMINER	
				MORRIS, PATRICIA L	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1625	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED: **NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT** This application is abandoned in view of: 1.

Applicant's failure to respond to the Office letter, mailed 2.
Applicant's letter of express abandonment which is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.138. 3.

Applicant's failure to timely file the response received _ within the period set in the Office letter. 4.

Applicant's failure to pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of 3 months from the _____ of the Notice of Allowance. mailing date of ☐ The issue fee was received on ☐ The issue fee has not been received in Allowed Files Branch as of _ In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 151, and under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.316(b), applicant(s) may petition the Commissioner to accept the delayed payment of the issue fee if the delay in payment was unavoidable. The petition must be accompanied by the issue fee, unless it has been previously submitted, in the amount specified by 37 C.F.R. 1.17(I), and a verified showing as to the causes of the delay. If applicant(s) never received the Notice of Allowance, a petition for a new Notice of Allowance and withdrawal of the holding of abandonment may be appropriate in view of Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler, 172 U.S.P.Q. 513. 5.

Applicant's failure to timely correct the drawings and/or submit new or substitute formal as required in the last Office action. drawings by ☐ The corrected and/or substitute drawings were received on 6.

The reason(s) below.

> PATRICIA L. MORRIS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 120